SINGLE BENCH

(1) (4)

CF100L

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 7072 /2006

PETITIONER

Navin Chand Sai Paikara, Son of Shri Narrottam Ram Paikara, Aged about 38 years, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Kachhuwakani, Block Kanshabel, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 7195/06 dated 8/12/06 Ashwani Shukla

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

2. The District Education Officer, District Jashpur (C.G.).

3. The Bock Education Officer, Block Kanshabel, District Jashpur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY 8/12/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

6/12/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7072 OF 2006

Petitioner : Navin Chand Sai Paikara

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7073 OF 2006

Petitioner : Rohit Kumar Rathiya

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7074 OF 2006

Petitioner : Rajnand Kumar Paikara

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashwini Shukla counsel for the petitioners.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State

---

ORDER

(Passed on 19th Day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as also for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and

question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96